## XXIX<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Psychology (Berlin, 2008)

## Faking personality measures in the setting of a traffic-psychological assessment for regaining the driving license: Explicit self-report questionnaire versus Objective Personality Test versus Implicit Association Test

Autoren: Felix Torner<sup>1</sup>, Margarete Litzenberger<sup>1,2</sup>, Bettina Schützhofer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>sicher unterwegs GmbH <sup>2</sup>University of Vienna, Faculty of Psychology

## ABSTRACT:

The susceptibility to faking of the explicit *Inventory of Driving Related Personality Traits* (Herle et al., 2005), the Objective Personality Test *Vienna Risk-Taking Test Traffic* (Hergovich et al., 2006) and the self-developed Implicit Association Test (IAT - Risk-Taking) was explored. The quasi-experimental design included the comparison between real-world examination-candidates of traffic-psychological assessments with a guaranteed faking-intention and a honest control group. For the statistical analysis a sequential testing design was used. While the explicit self-report measure as well as the "Objective" Personality Test were successfully faked by the real-world examination-candidates, the conscious distortion of the IAT failed. Implications are discussed.